1	I. VALIDITY AND OWNERSHIP OF THE "BRUXZIR" MARK
2	Q.1. Has Keating Dental Arts, Inc. ("Keating") proven that it is more likely
3	than not that "BruxZir" is a generic term to relevant consumers (dentists) when
4	used in connection with all-zirconia crowns and bridges?
5	
6	Yes
7	No
8	
9	Q.2. Has Keating proven that it is more likely than not that "BruxZir" is a
10	descriptive term to relevant consumers (dentists) that lacks secondary meaning
11	when used in connection with all-zirconia crowns and bridges?
12	
13	Yes
14	No
15	
16	Q.3. Has Keating proven by clear and convincing evidence that James R.
17	Glidewell Dental Ceramics, Inc. ("Glidewell") abandoned its "BruxZir" mark when
18	used in connection with all-zirconia crowns and bridges?
19	
20	Yes
21	No
22	
23	Q.4. If you answered "no" to each of Questions Numbers 1, 2 and 3, has
24	Glidewell proven that it is more likely than not that "BruxZir" is a valid, protectable
25	trademark when used in connection with all-zirconia crowns and bridges?
26	
27	Yes
28	No

Q.5. If you answer "yes" to Question Number 4, has Glidewell proven that it is more likely than not that Glidewell owns "BruxZir" as a trademark when used in connection with all-zirconia crowns and bridges? Yes
in connection with all-zirconia crowns and bridges?
Yes
Yes
No
II. GLIDEWELL'S TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT CLAIM
Q.6. If you answered "yes" to each of Question Numbers 4 and 5, has
Glidewell proven that it is more likely than not that Keating's use in commerce of
its "KDZ Bruxer" mark is likely to cause confusion among an appreciable number
of relevant consumers (dentists) as to the source, sponsorship, affiliation, or
approval of Keating's goods?
Yes
No
Q.7. If you answered "yes" to each of Question Numbers 4, 5, and 6, has
Glidewell proven that it is more likely than not that Glidewell has been damaged by
Keating's use in commerce of its "KDZ Bruxer" mark?
Yes
No

1	Q.8. If you answered "yes" to Question Number 7, what amount of money
2	damages, if any, has Glidewell proven that it is more likely than not that Glidewell
3	sustained?
4	
5	Amount:
6	
7	Q.9. If you answered "yes" to each of Question Numbers 4, 5, and 6, has
8	Glidewell proven that it is more likely than not that Keating used its "KDZ Bruxer"
9	mark in commerce knowing that it was an infringement of Glidewell's "BruxZir"
10	trademark?
11	
12	Yes
13	No
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	///
26	///
27	///
28	///

1	KEATING'S COUNTERCLAIMS AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
2	
3	Q.10. If you answered "yes" to each of Question Numbers 4, 5, and 6, has
4	Keating proven that it is more likely than not that Glidewell is estopped from using
5	its registered "BruxZir" trademark to prevent Keating from using the dental term
6	"Bruxer" to identify Keating's all-zirconia crowns and bridges?
7	
8	Yes
9	No
10	
11	Q.11. If you answered "yes" to each of Question Numbers 4, 5, and 6, has
12	Keating proven that it is more likely than not that Glidewell misused its "BruxZir"
13	mark when used in connection with all-zirconia crowns and bridges?
14	
15	Yes
16	No
17	
18	Q.12. If you answered "yes" to each of Question Numbers 4, 5, and 6, has
19	Keating proven that it is more likely than not that Keating has made "fair use" of its
20	"KDZ Bruxer" mark?
21	
22	Yes
23	No
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	Q.13. Has Keating proven that it is more likely than not that Glidewell
2	misused its "BruxZir" mark when used in connection with all-zirconia crowns and
3	bridges?
4	
5	Yes
6	No
7	
8	Q.14. Has Keating proven that it is more likely than not that Glidewell
9	engaged in unlawful, fraudulent or unfair business practices in violation of
10	California's Unfair Competition Law?
11	
12	Yes
13	No
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	